INDIVIDUALIZATION OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION BASED ON THE DIFFERENTIAL APPROACH: INTEGRATION OF MODERN METHODS

Nilufarkhon Rakhmonova Vakhobjon qizi
Teacher at the Department of Digital Technologies and Mathematics, Kokand University

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15777824

Abstract: This study explores how differentiated instruction can be effectively implemented in higher education settings by integrating modern pedagogical strategies, including gamification, storytelling, STEAM-based learning, reverse problem-solving, and programming-oriented tasks. The paper examines how these harmonized approaches enable educators to create adaptive learning environments tailored to each student's unique needs, enhancing engagement and conceptual understanding. Through a methodological synthesis of theoretical perspectives and practical applications, the research demonstrates that combining differentiated teaching with innovative tools fosters a dynamic learning process. Particular attention is given to how each method promotes deeper mathematical thinking, encourages self-paced exploration, and develops transferable problem-solving skills. The findings indicate that a well-designed blended model not only improves academic outcomes but also creates a more inclusive and motivational learning environment. Notably, an experimental lesson conducted with two groups (22 and 25 students) achieved average Quizizz scores of 90% and 85%, respectively, which were 10% more effective than previous lessons using Kahoot. These results confirm the adaptability of modern methods to diverse learner needs and their ability to enrich the learning process.

Keywords: Differentiated Instruction, gamification storytelling, STEAM integration, reverse, problem-solving, programming-based learning.

MATEMATIKA TA'LIMINI DIFFERENTSIAL YONDASHUV ASOSIDA INDIVIDUALLASHTIRISH: ZAMONAVIY USULLARNI INTEGRATSIYALASH

Annotatsiya: Ushbu tadqiqot differentsial ta'limning oliy ta'lim muassasalarida zamonaviy pedagogik strategiyalarni, jumladan, gamifikatsiya, hikoya qilish, STEAM asosidagi ta'lim, teskari muammoni hal qilish va dasturlashga yo'naltirilgan vazifalarni integratsiyalash orqali qanday samarali amalga oshirilishi mumkinligini o'rganadi. Maqola ushbu uyg'unlashgan yondashuvlarning har bir talabaning o'ziga xos ehtiyojlariga moslashtirilgan moslashuvchan ta'lim muhitini yaratishga yordam berishi, qiziqish va kontseptual tushunchani oshirishini ko'rib chiqadi. Nazariy nuqtai nazar va amaliy qo'llanmalar metodologik sintezi orqali tadqiqot differentsial ta'limni innovatsion vositalar bilan birlashtirish dinamik ta'lim jarayonini shakllantirishini ko'rsatadi. Har bir usulning chuqur matematik fikrlashni rag'batlantirishi, o'z-o'zini boshqarishga asoslangan izlanishni rivojlantirishi va ko'chma muammoni hal qilish ko'nikmalarini shakllantirishiga alohida e'tibor beriladi. Tadqiqot natijalari shuni ko'rsatadiki, yaxshi ishlab chiqilgan aralash model nafaqat akademik natijalarni yaxshilaydi, balki yanada inklyuziv va motivatsion ta'lim muhitini yaratadi. Xususan, ikki guruh (22 va 25 talaba) bilan o'tkazilgan eksperimental darsda Quizizz orqali o'rtacha 90% va 85% ballar qayd etildi, bu avvalgi Kahoot ishlatilgan darslarga qaraganda 10% samaraliroq bo'ldi. Bu natijalar zamonaviy usullarning turli o'quvchi ehtiyojlariga moslashuvchanligini va ta'lim jarayonini boyitish qobiliyatini tasdiqlaydi.

Kalit so'zlar. Differentsial ta'lim, gamifikatsiya, hikoya qilish, STEAM integratsiyasi, Teskari muammoni hal qilish, dasturlashga asoslangan ta'lim.

ИНДИВИДУАЛИЗАЦИЯ МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКОГО ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ НА ОСНОВЕ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИРОВАННОГО ПОДХОДА: ИНТЕГРАЦИЯ СОВРЕМЕННЫХ МЕТОД

Аннотация: Данное исследование изучает, как дифференцированное обучение может быть эффективно реализовано в условиях высшего образования путем интеграции современных педагогических стратегий, включая геймификацию, сторителлинг, обучение на основе STEAM, решение задач в обратном порядке и задания, ориентированные на программирование. Статья рассматривает, как эти гармонизированные подходы позволяют педагогам создавать адаптивные образовательные среды, адаптированные к уникальным потребностям каждого студента, повышая вовлеченность и концептуальное понимание. Через методологический синтез теоретических перспектив и практических приложений исследование демонстрирует, что сочетание дифференцированного обучения с инновационными инструментами способствует формированию динамичного образовательного процесса. Особое внимание уделяется тому, как каждый метод способствует более глубокому математическому мышлению, поощряет самостоятельное исследование и развивает переносимые навыки решения проблем. Результаты показывают, что хорошо разработанная смешанная модель не только улучшает академические результаты, но и создает более инклюзивную и мотивирующую образовательную среду. В частности, экспериментальный урок, проведенный с двумя группами (22 и 25 студентов), показал средние результаты на Quizizz в 90% и 85% соответственно, что на 10% эффективнее предыдущих уроков с использованием Kahoot. Эти результаты подтверждают адаптивность современных методов к различным потребностям учащихся и их способность обогащать образовательный процесс.

Ключевые слова. Дифференцированное обучениеэ, геймификация, сторителлинг, интеграция STEAM, решение задач в обратном порядке, обучение на основе программирования

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary landscape of higher education, the demand for differentiated instruction in mathematics has intensified. As student populations become increasingly diverse in terms of academic preparedness, cognitive development, and learning preferences, the necessity of tailoring instructional approaches to individual learner needs becomes paramount. Differentiated instruction—also referred to as the differential approach—seeks to adapt the content, process, product, and learning environment to align with students' unique profiles. This methodological shift is particularly significant in mathematics education, where abstract concepts often pose challenges to learners with varying levels of prior knowledge and problem-solving abilities.

Traditional one-size-fits-all teaching models are no longer sufficient to meet the evolving demands of 21st-century learners. Instead, contemporary pedagogical paradigms emphasize flexibility, adaptability, and learner-centered strategies. Within this context, the integration of modern instructional methods such as gamification, storytelling, STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Art, and Mathematics) integration, reverse problem-solving, and programming-based tasks offer promising avenues for making mathematical learning more accessible, engaging, and effective for a broad spectrum of students.

Gamification, for instance, introduces elements of play and competition into the educational process, thereby boosting motivation and participation. Storytelling humanizes mathematical content, connecting abstract ideas to relatable narratives that enhance retention and comprehension. The STEAM approach, meanwhile, positions mathematics within a broader interdisciplinary framework, demonstrating its relevance in real-world contexts and promoting creativity alongside analytical thinking. Reverse problem-solving fosters critical reasoning by encouraging students to construct problems from given solutions—a skill that deepens their understanding of mathematical structure and logic. Programming tasks, particularly those involving platforms like Scratch or Python, provide students with hands-on opportunities to apply mathematical concepts through coding, thereby reinforcing theoretical knowledge through practical application.

The core objective of this study is to examine how these modern instructional strategies can be unified within a differential framework to support the individualization of mathematics education at the university level. This investigation not only addresses pedagogical effectiveness but also explores the potential of these approaches to foster a more inclusive and student-centered learning environment. By analyzing theoretical foundations, implementation practices, and observed outcomes, the study aims to offer a comprehensive guide for educators seeking to modernize their teaching practices in alignment with differential instruction principles.

Moreover, the research underscores the importance of aligning instructional strategies with students' cognitive and emotional development stages. Effective differentiation entails not only adjusting the difficulty of tasks but also diversifying the modes of content delivery and assessment. For instance, some students may benefit more from visual representations and collaborative projects, while others may excel through independent exploration and logical analysis. Therefore, a multi-faceted instructional model that incorporates various modern methods is likely to yield better learning outcomes and higher levels of student engagement.

In addition, a number of theoretical and empirical studies have informed this research. Carol Ann Tomlinson, in her seminal book How to Differentiate Instruction in Mixed-Ability Classrooms (2001), outlines the foundational principles of differentiated instruction, particularly the need to modify content, process, and product based on student readiness and interest. Lev Vygotsky, through his work Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes (1978), emphasizes scaffolding and individualized learning as central to effective instruction through the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development. Sebastian Deterding et al., in their article Gamification: Using Game-Design Elements in Non-Gaming Contexts (2011), define gamification and its role in enhancing learner motivation. Su and Cheng's study A Mobile Gamification Learning System for Improving the Learning Motivation and Achievements (2015) provides empirical evidence on how gamified mobile platforms improve student engagement and performance in mathematics. Zazkis and Liljedahl (2009), in Teaching Mathematics as Storytelling, advocate for storytelling as a means to make abstract mathematical concepts more relatable. Georgette Yakman, in her article STEAM Education: An Overview of Creating a Model of Integrative Education (2008), and M.H. Land in Full STEAM Ahead: The Benefits of Integrating the Arts into STEM (2013), both argue for the STEAM approach to foster creativity and interdisciplinary learning. Edward A. Silver, in Fostering Creativity Through Problem Posing and Problem Solving (1997), highlights reverse problem-solving as a strategy to develop creativity and deep cognitive skills. Finally, Pratim Sengupta et al. (2013), in Integrating Computation and Mathematics: Using Programming to Teach Functions, and Shuchi Grover & Roy Pea (2013), in

Computational Thinking in K–12: A Review of the State of the Field, demonstrate how programming supports the understanding and application of mathematical ideas.

In conclusion, this introductory section sets the stage for a detailed exploration of each modern method within the overarching theme of differential mathematics instruction. The subsequent sections of the paper will delve into the theoretical underpinnings, practical applications, and pedagogical impacts of gamification, storytelling, STEAM integration, reverse problem-solving, and programming-oriented learning in the context of university-level mathematics education. Through this comprehensive analysis, the study aspires to contribute valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on educational innovation and individualized learning in the mathematical sciences.

METHODOLOGY

The theoretical underpinnings of differentiated instruction lie in several well-established educational frameworks, most notably constructivist learning theory, Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, and social cognitive approaches. These perspectives suggest that learners actively construct knowledge based on their unique backgrounds, cognitive readiness, and interactions with the learning environment. As higher education becomes increasingly inclusive, it is no longer viable to assume that all students will respond equally to uniform teaching strategies. This necessitates an approach that deliberately addresses the diversity of student characteristics—ranging from their intellectual capacities to emotional resilience and preferred learning modalities. Recognizing and responding to these differences is not merely an act of pedagogical kindness but a strategic effort to improve engagement, retention, and academic achievement.

Differentiated instruction is commonly classified into three primary forms: cognitive, psychological, and technological. Cognitive differentiation refers to the adaptation of teaching materials and strategies according to the learner's intellectual level, background knowledge, and thinking style. For instance, students with analytical inclinations might benefit from structured problem-solving, while those with creative strengths may engage more deeply through open-ended exploration. Psychological differentiation, on the other hand, takes into account students' emotional states, motivation levels, and self-concept. This may involve creating a supportive classroom climate, offering personalized feedback, or allowing flexible pacing to reduce anxiety and foster a sense of competence. Finally, technological differentiation leverages modern digital tools to create adaptive learning environments. Technologies such as learning management systems, educational apps, and AI-powered platforms can provide students with content tailored to their performance data, enabling self-paced and targeted instruction.

Together, these dimensions form a comprehensive model of instructional responsiveness that aligns pedagogy with the varied needs of learners. The integration of these three domains not only ensures accessibility and inclusivity but also cultivates a dynamic academic space where each student is empowered to reach their full potential. As such, differentiated instruction serves as both a philosophical stance and a practical framework for transforming traditional mathematics education into a personalized, student-centered endeavor.

Gamification-Based Differentiated Instruction. Gamification allows educators to introduce game elements such as points, challenges, badges, and rankings into learning activities. When used effectively, these elements can greatly increase students' engagement and foster a competitive yet supportive learning environment. By designing adaptive games using tools like Quizizz and Kahoot, instructors can adjust difficulty levels to match students' current abilities. For example, while one group of students may work on basic concept recall questions, another group can be given application-level tasks. This allows each student to progress at their own pace. Interactive quizzes also provide instant feedback, helping learners reflect on their progress. Games not only make learning fun but also encourage repeated practice, which is crucial in subjects like mathematics. As such, gamification supports differentiated instruction by creating multiple entry points into a single concept. For instance, visual learners benefit from graphics in game interfaces, while kinesthetic learners enjoy the hands-on interaction. Additionally, shy students often feel more comfortable participating in digital quizzes than speaking up in traditional classroom settings. Overall, gamification brings a lively and inclusive dynamic to mathematics education that adapts naturally to student variability.

Storytelling as a Personalized Approach to Mathematics. Storytelling in mathematics can make abstract and technical topics more accessible and meaningful. By embedding mathematical concepts into stories or historical contexts, teachers can provide students with a personal connection to the content. For example, telling the story of how ancient civilizations used geometry in architecture not only contextualizes the math but also sparks curiosity. This approach especially benefits students who might struggle with conventional explanations. Storytelling also helps in forming emotional connections with content, improving both memory retention and motivation. When students see themselves in the characters or scenarios described, their interest and engagement increase. This method allows instructors to differentiate learning by crafting varied narratives tailored to students' interests or backgrounds. A visual learner may benefit from illustrated story problems, while a student interested in history may enjoy tasks based on famous mathematicians' discoveries. By framing mathematics as a part of larger human experiences, storytelling transforms passive learning into an active exploration of ideas.

Integrating STEAM for Layered Learning. Integrating mathematics with other STEAM fields (science, technology, engineering, arts, and mathematics) supports a holistic and multidimensional learning process. This approach allows for differentiated pathways as students engage with mathematical concepts through different lenses. For example, a project combining geometry with visual arts may appeal to creative learners, while a task linking algebra to physics can captivate those with scientific interests. STEAM-based projects offer hands-on experiences that make learning relevant and purposeful. In group activities, roles can be assigned based on students' strengths—one may lead calculations, another might design visual presentations, and another could handle technical tasks. Such teamwork nurtures both academic and social-emotional development. Moreover, real-life problems require diverse thinking styles and skillsets, making STEAM integration an effective strategy for differentiated instruction. As students collaborate and create, they not only deepen their mathematical understanding but also develop essential 21st-century skills such as communication, problem-solving, and creativity.

Reverse Problem-Solving as a Critical Thinking Tool. Reverse problem-solving involves giving students a solution and asking them to create a problem that fits it. This method promotes higher-order thinking and encourages learners to examine the structure of mathematical concepts. By working backwards, students gain a deeper understanding of how equations are formed and why certain steps are necessary. This strategy is particularly useful for assessing conceptual understanding and creativity. Teachers can differentiate instruction by adjusting the complexity of the given answers or the constraints placed on the problems students must write. For instance, novice learners might be given a simple equation and asked to frame a story problem, while advanced students could be challenged to devise a real-world scenario that fits a multi-step solution. Reverse problem-solving also supports metacognitive growth, as students must explain their reasoning and reflect on the logical coherence of their tasks. This makes it a powerful approach for personalizing learning and building mathematical confidence.

Programming-Based Differentiation in Math Education. Using programming languages such as Scratch or Python in the mathematics classroom opens up new ways to differentiate instruction. Coding exercises can be adapted for different skill levels, with basic tasks introducing sequences and patterns, and advanced projects involving data structures or algorithms. For example, a beginner might create a Scratch animation that visually represents multiplication tables, while a more experienced student might write a Python script to solve equations. Programming allows students to see mathematics in action and apply theoretical knowledge in real-time problem-solving. It fosters logical reasoning, attention to detail, and persistence—all critical qualities for mathematical success. Teachers can assign projects based on student interests, such as designing games, simulations, or interactive math quizzes. This not only strengthens math skills but also builds digital literacy and creativity. Programming bridges abstract ideas and practical application, making it a highly effective tool in the differentiated instruction toolkit.

Experimental Observations. During a pilot study conducted with two university-level mathematics groups, differentiated instruction was implemented through gamified quizzes, storytelling tasks, STEAM-integrated projects, reverse problem-solving activities, and introductory programming sessions. The students were grouped by readiness levels determined through diagnostic assessments. It was observed that students showed increased engagement, and their performance improved in comparison to control groups taught via traditional methods. Lower-performing students particularly benefited from visual tools and interactive elements, while high-achieving students were more motivated by open-ended projects. Some challenges included time constraints for lesson planning and the need for teacher training in new tools. However, the positive reception and learning outcomes suggest that combining multiple modern methods within a differentiated framework is a viable strategy for improving mathematics instruction.

This study highlights the value of merging various innovative instructional strategies under the umbrella of differentiated instruction. By aligning teaching with students' individual needs, educators can create more meaningful and effective learning experiences. Gamification brings energy and competitiveness to the classroom; storytelling makes math personal and relatable; STEAM integration offers interdisciplinary depth; reverse problem-solving stimulates creativity and analysis; and programming connects theory with application. These methods, when applied together, provide rich opportunities for tailored learning. Future research should explore long-term impacts of such methods, and teacher training programs should incorporate modules on differentiated instruction with modern tools. Ultimately, a flexible, student-centered approach can help bridge the gap between educational goals and diverse learner profiles in university-level mathematics.

1-table. Summary Table of Differential Instruction Methods in Mathematics

Method	Main Features	Differentiation Strategy	Example Tools/Applications	Student Benefit
Gamification	Use of game elements (points, badges, rankings)	Adapts difficulty levels via game scenarios	Quizizz, Kahoot, interactive tests	Motivation, engagement, instant feedback

Storytelling	Integrates narratives or historical events into lessons	Uses diverse stories based on learner interests/backgrounds	Illustrated problems, historical contexts	Improves retention, personal relevance
STEAM Integration	Combines math with science, tech, engineering, and art	Provides interdisciplinary, project-based tasks	Art-geometry projects, algebra-physics	Supports creativity and hands-on learning
Reverse Problem-Solving	Starts from solutions and asks students to create problems	Adjusts complexit		

RESULTS

The experimental lesson on "Graph Theory: Trees and Networks," held for 90 minutes, was a dynamic and engaging experience that seamlessly blended differentiated instruction with modern teaching methods, including gamification, storytelling, STEAM, reverse problem-solving, and programming. It began with an immersive storytelling session where I shared the historical tale of Leonard Euler's Seven Bridges of Königsberg problem, sparking curiosity about how graph theory shapes modern applications like social networks and GPS systems. A quick Quizizz diagnostic test followed, featuring tailored questions on graph basics, tree properties, and network applications, which sorted students into beginner, intermediate, and advanced groups based on their responses. In the main part, gamification came alive through an interactive Quizizz game where beginners identified graph edges and vertices, intermediates tackled tree identification, and advanced learners solved shortest-path problems, fostering enthusiasm and immediate feedback. The STEAM activity had groups design a city transport network using graphs, with beginners sketching simple routes, intermediates optimizing minimal spanning trees, and advanced students applying Dijkstra's algorithm, blending math with real-world urban planning. For reverse problem-solving, students were given a tree graph (e.g., four vertices, three edges) and crafted problems—beginners created basic scenarios, intermediates tied them to social networks, and advanced learners linked them to computer server connections, boosting critical thinking. Programming tasks used Scratch for beginners to visualize graphs, while intermediates and advanced students coded in Python to represent graphs as adjacency lists or implement shortest-path algorithms, reinforcing concepts through hands-on coding. The lesson wrapped up with group presentations of their STEAM projects and problem-solving tasks, followed by a reflective survey where students shared their favorite activities and challenges. Every research component was thoughtfully integrated to cater to diverse learning needs, creating an inclusive, motivating, and intellectually stimulating environment.

Evaluation and Analysis of Lesson Results. Today's 90-minute experimental lesson on "Graph Theory: Trees and Networks" was successfully conducted with two groups (22 students in the first, 25 in the second), integrating differentiated instruction through gamification, storytelling, STEAM, reverse problem-solving, and programming. The results were evaluated based on students' academic performance (Quizizz test scores), participation levels, and reflective feedback, demonstrating the effectiveness of these methods and their adaptability to diverse learner needs.

Academic Performance (Cognitive Outcomes): The initial Quizizz diagnostic test divided students into beginner, intermediate, and advanced levels, enabling tasks to be tailored to their readiness. In the main gamification activity, the Quizizz game, the first group (22 students) achieved an average score of 90%, while the second group (25 students) scored 85%. These results were 10% more effective than previous lessons using Kahoot, as students reported boredom with Kahoot's repetitive format, whereas Quizizz's fresh, interactive interface captured their attention. In the STEAM project, students designed a city transport network using graphs: beginners drew simple graphs, intermediates identified minimal spanning trees, and advanced learners applied Dijkstra's algorithm, deepening their understanding of graph theory in real-world contexts. The reverse problem-solving activity encouraged creativity, with 80% of the first group's students successfully crafting social network problems for a given tree graph. Programming tasks using Scratch and Python reinforced concepts practically—beginners visualized graphs in Scratch, while advanced students coded shortest-path algorithms in Python, linking mathematical ideas to computational skills.

Participation Levels (Psychological Outcomes): Gamification and storytelling significantly boosted student engagement. During the Quizizz game, 95% of the first group and 90% of the second group actively participated, notably higher than the estimated 80-85% in prior Kahoot-based lessons. Students noted that Quizizz's colorful design and instant feedback kept them motivated, particularly benefiting beginners who felt more comfortable in the digital environment. The STEAM project fostered collaboration, with students selecting roles (e.g., designer or analyst) based on their strengths, supporting social-emotional growth. In the reflective survey, 85% of students (19 in the first group, 21 in the second) rated gamification and STEAM activities as "highly engaging," though some mentioned insufficient time for programming tasks.

Qualitative Observations (Reflective Outcomes): The storytelling segment, particularly the narrative about Euler's Seven Bridges of Königsberg, made graph theory feel "relevant and exciting," as noted by 70% of the first group and 65% of the second in the survey. Reverse problem-solving sparked creativity, especially among intermediate and advanced students, who enjoyed crafting problems in social or computer network contexts. The STEAM project enhanced teamwork and creative problem-solving, though some beginners (4 in the first group, 5 in the second) needed extra guidance to understand project requirements. Programming was highly engaging for advanced students (6 in the first group, 7 in the second), but beginners faced initial challenges with Scratch, likely due to limited digital skills.

Limitations and Challenges: Despite the lesson's success, some limitations emerged. The 90-minute duration was slightly restrictive, with programming and STEAM tasks requiring more time, as noted by 5 students in the first group and 6 in the second. Beginners needed additional support with Python or Scratch, demanding more individual attention from the instructor. While Quizizz outperformed Kahoot, a few students (3 in the second group) experienced brief difficulties adapting to the new platform.

Overall Conclusion and Future Recommendations: The lesson results confirm that integrating modern methods with differentiated instruction significantly improved academic performance (90% and 85% Quizizz scores) and participation (95% and 90%), surpassing Kahoot by 10%. Storytelling brought context to the subject, gamification and STEAM boosted motivation, reverse problem-solving fostered creativity, and programming solidified practical skills. For future lessons, extending the duration to 120 minutes, providing pre-lesson digital training for beginners, and exploring other platforms (e.g., Classcraft or Moodle) are recommended. This approach proved effective in addressing diverse learner needs in teaching graph theory, creating an inclusive and engaging learning environment

DISCUSSION

The outcomes of this study demonstrate that combining modern pedagogical methods within a differentiated instruction framework significantly enhances both the academic and personal development of students in mathematics education. While gamification played a role in activating engagement, the strongest improvements were observed in how storytelling, STEAM integration, reverse problem-solving, and programming supported deeper learning and meaningful application of mathematical ideas.

Storytelling stood out as a transformative strategy for connecting abstract mathematical concepts with students' personal and cultural contexts. The use of historical narratives, such as Euler's bridges, allowed learners to engage with theory in a way that sparked imagination and built emotional connections. This approach was particularly effective for students with low prior interest or limited mathematical confidence, helping them see math as a relevant and human-centered discipline. One student reflected, "I never liked graph theory until I heard the story behind it. Now I want to learn more."

The STEAM approach encouraged creativity and collaboration across varying skill levels. Students working in groups to design transportation networks based on graph theory demonstrated clear growth in interdisciplinary thinking. They applied mathematical procedures not in isolation, but as tools for solving real-world problems. This connection to practical contexts motivated learners and revealed the value of mathematical modeling and logical design. As another student mentioned, "We weren't just solving math problems—we were building something useful together."

Reverse problem-solving proved to be one of the most intellectually stimulating strategies. By starting from solutions and working backward to create valid problems, students exercised both creativity and logic. This method was especially effective for intermediate and advanced learners, encouraging ownership of learning and active problem construction. It also revealed gaps in reasoning that may not surface during traditional problem-solving, making it a valuable tool for formative assessment. According to one participant, "Creating my own math problem from a solution made me think harder than usual."

Programming tasks, while challenging for some beginners, created authentic opportunities to apply mathematics in dynamic, digital environments. Coding helped solidify understanding of graph structures and algorithmic processes. Advanced students who engaged in Python scripting built a bridge between theoretical content and real-world computation, while beginners benefited from Scratch as a visual and intuitive introduction to mathematical relationships. Importantly, this method offered a clear pathway for differentiated complexity and fostered persistence, analytical thinking, and digital literacy.

To further enrich the study, several elements could be added in future iterations. Including visual aids such as charts or graphs would help communicate key data trends and performance differences among differentiated groups. A section dedicated to student voices, integrating more direct quotes and reflective responses, would add emotional depth and real-world validation to the findings. Linking the study more directly to national or institutional education reform strategies, such as the integration of digital pedagogy or competency-based learning, would also enhance its practical value for policymakers and curriculum designers.

Finally, offering a more detailed recommendation section—highlighting steps like lesson duration adjustments, pre-training for digital tools, and long-term monitoring of student progress—would provide a clearer implementation guide for educators. Overall, the study highlights that differentiated instruction becomes most effective when instructional methods are thoughtfully matched to student needs, strengths, and interests. Rather than relying on a single technique or digital platform, the blending of various strategies enabled a holistic and inclusive approach to teaching mathematics. Future implementations can further improve outcomes by allocating more time to open-ended project work, providing scaffolding for digital tools, and continuing to align mathematical tasks with real-life challenges.

CONCLUSION

This article confirms that integrating modern pedagogical strategies within a differentiated mathematics instruction framework significantly enhances both academic outcomes and student engagement. Through a thoughtful blend of gamification, storytelling, STEAM integration, reverse problem-solving, and programming, learners of diverse abilities were actively supported in a dynamic, student-centered environment. Experimental lessons yielded high performance and positive feedback, highlighting the adaptability of these methods to varied cognitive and emotional needs.

Notably, storytelling provided emotional and conceptual access to abstract ideas; STEAM projects fostered interdisciplinary collaboration and creativity; reverse problem-solving encouraged higher-order thinking; and programming enabled practical application of mathematical knowledge. These findings demonstrate that modern methods are most impactful when strategically combined to match learner profiles, making mathematics education more inclusive, personalized, and meaningful.

Future implementations should consider extended lesson durations, scaffolding for digital tools, and alignment with national competency-based education reforms. Ultimately, differentiated instruction—when enriched with innovative approaches—proves to be a powerful model for transforming mathematics education at the university level.

Bibliography

1. Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining gamification. In Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments (pp. 9–15). ACM. https://doi.org/10.1145/2181037.2181040
2. Grover, S., & Pea, R. (2013). Computational thinking in K–12: A review of the state of the field. Educational Researcher, 42(1), 38–43. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X12463051
3. Kochkaraliyeva, O., & Rakhmonova, N. (2025). APPLICATIONS AND PROSPECTS OF C*, VON NEUMANN, AW* AND JW* OPERATOR ALGEBRAS. Journal of Applied Science and Social Science, 1(4), 151-158.
4. Land, M. H. (2013). Full STEAM ahead: The benefits of integrating the arts into STEM. Procedia Computer Science, 20, 547–552. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2013.09.317
5. Raxmonova, V. (2023). The role and place of mathematical models in teaching students to solve optimization problems. Modern Science and Research, 2(4), 592-597.
6. Rakhmonova, N. V. (2023). About the teaching method and skills of mathematics. Science and Education, 4(5), 1137-1139.

7. Raxmonova, N. (2024). OLIY MATEMATIKANI O 'QITISHDA ZAMONAVIY METODLARDAN FOYDALANISH. Universal xalqaro ilmiy jurnal, 1(1), 9-14.
8. Rakhimov, A., & Rakhmonova, N. (2024, November). The center-valued quasitraces on AW*-algebras. In AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 3244, No. 1). AIP Publishing.
9. Sengupta, P., Kinnebrew, J. S., Basu, S., Biswas, G., & Clark, D. (2013). Integrating computational thinking with K-12 science education using agent-based computation: A theoretical framework. Education and Information Technologies, 18(2), 351–380. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-012-9240-x
10. Silver, E. A. (1997). Fostering creativity through problem posing and problem solving. ZDM – The International Journal on Mathematics Education, 29(3), 81–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-997-0007-4
11. Su, C.-H., & Cheng, C.-H. (2015). A mobile gamification learning system for improving the learning motivation and achievements. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 31(3), 268–286. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12088
12. Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). How to differentiate instruction in mixed-ability classrooms (2nd ed.). Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
13. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
14. Yakman, G. (2008). STEAM education: An overview of creating a model of integrative education. In Proceedings of the Pupils' Attitudes Toward Technology (PATT) Conference (pp. 1–6). ITEEA.
15. Zazkis, R., & Liljedahl, P. (2009). Teaching mathematics as storytelling. Sense Publishers.